

REMARKS

The allowability of claims 3-11 is acknowledged appreciatively.

Nevertheless, the rejection of claim 2 under 35 USC 103 for obviousness from the newly cited Fly and Lorraine patents is traversed because the Lorraine patent that was cited for the click-on means in combination with the rest of the structure from the Fly patent shows three-part arrangement, with three separate hollow body, support and click-on means equivalents, as clearly shown in exploded Fig. 2. As now made clearer by the broadening amendment of claim 2, the claimed invention simplifies this arrangement by having the clickon means on one of the hollow body or support to click on the other.

> A review of the above-cited cases makes it clear that there is no per se rule that making something in one piece that was formerly made in two or more pieces renders it obvious. Mooney v. Brunswick Corporation, 206 USPQ 121, 138 (E.D. Wis 1980), aff'd. on other grds., 212 USPQ.401 (7th CA 1981).

In this case, the advantage in installation, re-installation after repair, and supply both from having only two parts to keep track of and handle as opposed to the three of the art can be appreciated by both parts suppliers and parts installers, particularly those auto-mechanics who may be less skilled or, even, amateur. In the art, for example, one hand could be needed to hold the hollow body re-installation, a second hand, to hold the support, and a third hand, to click-on the spring, unless one of the hollow body and support is supportedly pre-installed, in which case only two hands are needed for the other two parts in what may be the crowded compartment of a modern automotive engine. How much better is the possibility of just twoor, with pre-installation, one-handed installation with the claimed invention. More is not required for patentability.

Reconsideration and allowance are, therefore, requested.

Respectfully submitted

William R. Evans c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61" Street New York, New York 10023 Reg. No. 25858 Tel. No. (212) 708-1930

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items of	hecked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS	* 1
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	• •
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	•
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALI	TY
□ OTHER:	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.